

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,330	04/02/2001	James Michael Nelson	56081USA8A.002	9412
32692	7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			HANDY, DWAYNE K	
	ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			PAPER NUMBER
,			1743	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		(			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
	09/824,330	NELSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del> </del>		
	Dwayne K. Handy	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this con  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>//ay 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed			merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PT0	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	its have been received.				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	ority documents have been rece		Stage		
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eived.			
See the attached detailed Office detail for a lis	1 3. The continue copies not receive				
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mai  5) Notice of Inform  6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-	-152)		
			<del></del>		

Application/Control Number: 09/824,330

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, applicant has broadly claimed a method of making a combinatorial library. The method includes the step of "introducing **one** or more components into the plug flow reactor. While the Examiner understands how more than one compound may react at various conditions to form the library, but it is unclear to the Examiner how the **library** of compounds may be formed if only one compound is used. If only one compound is used, then varying certain properties such as temperature may alter the rate of reaction or the amount of product formed – but not the identity of the product itself.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,330

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

3. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bergh et al. (6,749,814). Bergh teaches a chemical processing system for combinatorial chemistry (col. 3, lines 15-20). PFR and CSTR reactor modeling is disclosed in column 12, lines 35-65; column 37, lines 3-17. The passage from column 12 also includes a recitation of providing passive mixers. Bergh teaches varying a wide variety of variables including residence time, temperature, starting materials, pressure, mixing and reaction yield (col. 7, lines 1-14; col. 13, lines 1-17; column 22, lines 20-60; col. 43, line 40 – col. 45, line 27). Bergh recites use of the device in polymerization in column 18, lines 54-67.

## Inventorship

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/824,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergh et al. (6,749,814) in view of Priddy et al. (4,572,819). Bergh teaches every element of the method of claims 5 and 7 except for the use of an extruder in the reaction. Priddy et al. teach an apparatus for anionic polymerization wherein the molecular weight of the polymer is closely controlled. In Example 2, Priddy discloses the use of an extruder in making their polymer. The extruder is used to remove the polymer from the reactor in strand form so that the polymer may be examined or used. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the use of an extruder with the method of Bergh. Extruders are commonly used to remove solid

Application/Control Number: 09/824,330 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

materials during the formation of polymers from liquid precursors. It would be advantageous to use an extruder for removal of products in a continuous system for examining reaction products.

7. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergh et al. (6,749,814) in view of Citron et al. (6,586,541). Bergh teaches every element of claims 13 and 14 except for a step-growth or coordination polymerization and the use of a metallocene catalyst. Citron et al. teach a process for the production of polyolefin copolymers in a plug flow reactor (col. 5, lines 21-48). As stated by Citron in column 10, the preferred catalyst for the process of Citron uses a metallocene catalyst. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the use of the metallocene catalyst from Citron with the teachings of Bergh. One would add the metallocene catalyst to perform copolymerizations as described by Citron.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments/submission with respect to the claims rejected under Bergh et al. (US 2002/0170976) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has submitted information in a declaration that is sufficient to overcome the previous rejection under Bergh (US 2002/0170976). This rejection is removed. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-12 are now rejected under a new Bergh reference having an earlier effective filing date.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,330

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. These references of Bergh et al. (6,737,026; 6,890,493;

6,902,924) also contain the teachings of the Bergh reference used in the rejection.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-

272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH June 20, 2005

Page 6

Technology Center 1700